	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
Interview Summary	09/761,753		ISHIDA ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Fernando L. Toled	do	2823	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):				
(1) <u>Fernando L. Toledo</u> .	(3)			
(2) Steve Adrian.	(4)			
Date of Interview: 14 July 2004.				
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:				
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1</u> .				
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>N/A</u> .				
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) № N/A.				
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>The amendment propose Applicant</u> . A minor typographical error was found and it will (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.	ed on the Interview Il be corrected with Iments which the a opy of the amend	<u>v mailed Jund</u> <u>h the Examin</u> examiner agr	e 21, 2004 was a er's Amendmen eed would rend	accepted by t. er the claims
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.				
			3	
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Exe	miner's signa	ature, if required	